

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/677,870	10/03/2000	Woo Hyuk Choi	2658-0240P 6124	
2292 7.	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	.CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2883	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/677,870	CHOI ET AL.	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy L Rude	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	·		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• •	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10,12,13,15-18 and 21-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			
		tlr	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant argues the proposed amendments to the claims overcome the applied prior art. Said proposed amendments change numerous structural limitations in all base claims. Examiner must therefore give further consideration to the numerous proposed claim amendments because it is not obvious that the numerous changes would result in allowability.

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Contor 2800

Frank & Fort